U S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT Rockford Paperboard - Removal Polrep



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region V

Subject:

POLREP #2

Rockford Paperboard

C550

Rockford, MI

Latitude: 43.1030374 Longitude: -85.5781170

To:

Valencia Darby, Department of Interior Andrew Raddant, Department of Interior

Bruce VanOtteren, MDEQ Joe Walczak, MDEQ Jason El-Zein, U S EPA Sherry Fielding, U S. EPA Charlie Gebien, U S. EPA John Glover, U S EPA John Gulch, U.S. EPA John Maritote, U S EPA Carol Ropski, U.S. EPA

USCG PolRep Distribution, USCG

Jeffrey Kimble, US EPA Steven Kaiser, EPA Kaushalya Khanna, EPA Nancy Johnson, MDEQ Mindy Clement, EPA D O'Donnell, MDEQ

From:

Jeffrey Kimble / Elizabeth Nightingale, OSCs

Date:

2/1/2012

Reporting Period: Week of January 29, 2012

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Site Number:

C550

Contract Number:

D.O. Number:

Action Memo Date:

Response Authority: CERCLA

Response Type:

Time-Critical

Response Lead:

EPA

Incident Category:

Removal Action

NPL Status: Mobilization Date: Non NPL 1/23/2012 **Operable Unit:** Start Date:

1/23/2012

Demob Date:

Completion Date: **RCRIS ID:**

CERCLIS ID: ERNS No.:

MIN000510634

State Notification:

FPN#:

Reimbursable Account #:

1.1.1 Incident Category

EPA has initiated a time critical removal action at the site to remove numerous containers of characteristically hazardous flammable, corrosive and other wastes Container sizes range from 5-gallons pails to 1,000-gallon

1.1.2 Site Description

The site is comprised of a vacant paper mill building with ancillary equipment, parking areas, lawn areas and i areas adjacent to the Roque River. The site occupies an approximate area of 17 58 acres in an industrial setti is bordered by Childsdale Avenue to the northwest, a wooded area to the northeast, and the Roque River to the southeast and southwest. The site operated as paperboard/carton box production facility from 1940 until 2001 site is now abandoned

1.1.2.1 Location

The Rockford Paperboard Site (Site) is located at 7700 (aka 7734) Childsdale Avenue in the City of Rockford, County, Michigan, 49341 Coordinates for the site are 43.102421 degrees latitude and -85.577036 degrees k

1.1.2.2 Description of Threat

The presence of hazardous substances at the site has been documented through EPA field screening and lat analysis. The site evaluation documented 30 small containers, 1 cylinder, 1 tote of solvent, 3 pallets of CR-80 Titanium Dioxide (Manufactured by Kerr McGee), 1 pallet Polyvinyl Alcohol, 1 tote containing a mix of Cyclohexyamine [108-91-8] and NN Diethylsnolsmine [100-37-8]), 73 drums of unknown materials, 2 1,000-q tanks of unknown wastes, 10 300-gallon totes of unknown wastes, 7 bags of Polyethylene glycol 3350 granule large totes of liquid waste. There is also a chemical laboratory. Further inspection revealed additional contain waste, including a large tank containing caustic material, and a polymer spill to the ground inside and outside facility.

The site building is in various states of disrepair. Holes in the roof and walls of the building allow precipitation enter This precipitation will lead to the further degradation of site containers and contribute to the likelihood c release Similarly, gas service has been cut-off to the building and no heat is available. Freezing of the chem site can lead to expansion and bulging of the containers and increase the likelihood of release. Lastly, the built currently sits vacant and perimeter fencing is incomplete, which can lead to trespassers Trespassers have the potential to cause an accidental or purposeful release to the environment. The Rogue River lies less than 100

from the rear of the building

The conditions remaining at the site present substantial threat to the public health, or welfare, and the environ and meet the criteria for a time-critical removal action as provided for in the NCP, 40 C F.R. §300.415(b)(2).

1.1.3 Preliminary Removal Assessment/Removal Site Inspection Results

EPA assessment results showed that the wastes in drums, vats, totes, tanks, compressed gas cylinders, and miscellaneous containers is hazardous. Several drum samples had a pH greater than 12.5 SUs, one drum sa was so acidic an accurate measurement was not able to be obtained, and lab-grade acids were also found to present. Numerous drums showed labeling or indicated via field instrumentation that they hold volatile organic compounds. Two drum samples met the criteria to be considered ignitable waste (D001)

2. Current Activities

2.1 Operations Section

2.1.1 Narrative

EPA clean up operations will consist of properly identifying, repackaging, and shipping off site the hazardous vand contaminants from the site. EPA actions are focused on the containerized wastes at the site. However, sareas of the facility floor have now been documented to have removable contamination present on the floor site. These areas will also be properly addressed to prevent exposure to pedestrian traffic and to prevent tracking the waste off site.

2.1.2 Response Actions to Date

EPA and its contractors (START and ERRS) continued the time critical removal actions this week.

On Monday January 30, 2012, the crew completed sampling of the remaining drums, small container and tanks. these samples will be used for hazcatting. The crew set up a room in the building for the hazcatting to occur in.. The crew removed small containers from the lab and staged them in the in the area also. The waste containers were numbered, photographed and field screened. The photos will be make drum logs to track their information. The crew conducted air monitoring in the work zones for LEL, H2S, CO and VOC. Air monitoring will be conducted daily and a record will be kept in the site the readings. The crew also completed cutting apart 2 empty poly tanks for disposal.

On Tuesday January 31, 2012, stone was received and the crew spread it and graded the parking area continued on dismantling of tanks. Two empty fiberglass tanks were dismantled. One poly tank in throom (Tank 3) was <1/4 full of liquid. The top of this tank was removed. The bottom portion of the transport contents remain in place pending results. Another poly tank (Tank 2) in the chemical storage room was approximately 1/3 full of a white liquid material. A section of the top of this tank was removed. A strain of the liquid was collected for hazcatting purposes, and the bottom portion of the tank and contents rein place pending results. Air monitoring was conducted during all tank dismantling and sampling. We continuing on drum log forms for small containers and tanks. Approximately 30 additional small containers found in the basement of the old boiler room and were moved to the staging area. The ERRS chemist mobilized to the site.

On Wednesday February 1, 2012, the crew began placing non-hazardous solid waste into roll off box later disposal. START continues to work on drum log forms for small containers and tanks. A fume I was mobilized to the set and set up in the compatibility testing area. The chemist begun hazcatting w samples that were collected from the containers.

On Thursday February 2, 2012, additional stone was received and the crew spread it and further grad parking area. The chemist continued hazcatting work. The material in Tanks 2 and 3 was hazcatted a hazardous. The dried material will be disposed of as non-hazardous waste, and the tanks will be full dismantled. The crew continued work to dismantle and dispose of empty containers.

On Friday, February 3, 2012, the crew continued to work on cutting empty containers and placing solid waste roll off boxes. Sweeping of facility floors was initiated in areas of historic spillage of material. 24-hour security on site for the weekend as no site work will occur.

2.1.3 Enforcement Activities, Identity of Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs)

Rockford Paperboard (former Converters Paperboard)

EPA is conducting a fund lead cleanup because they do not believe that Rockford Paperboard has assets avaconduct the cleanup on a time critical schedule

The Bridgeway Community Church has expressed interest in receiving the property after EPA completes the capable The Church is planning a phase 2 assessment of the property, and has plans to demolish approximately 80% facility structure. The remaining building will be re-habbed into their new church home. Bridgeway Communit Church has no previous liability at the site and is not a PRP. The Church also submitted a grant application to but the grant was stopped in it's final stage and the church will not receive MDEQ grant assistance for demolit activities If the Church completes this second phase of the project, additional environmental benefits will be ac

2.1.4 Progress Metrics

Waste Stream	Medium	Quantity	Manifest #	Treatment	Disposal
	···		<u></u>	**************************************	<u> </u>

R5 Priorities Summary				
	Miles of river systems cleaned and/or restored	1		
This is an Integrated River Assessment. The numbers should overlap	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments removed and/or capped			
	Gallons of oil/water recovered			
	Acres of soil/sediment cleaned up in floodplains and riverbanks			
Stand Alone Assessment	Acres Protected	17		

Number of contaminated residential yards cleaned up	0	
Human Health Exposures Avoided	357 (1/16th City pop size)	
 Number of workers on site	10	

2.2 Planning Section

2.2.1 Anticipated Activities

EPA will continue site work until waste disposal is complete.

2.2.1.1 Planned Response Activities

- Decontaminate facility floors as required
- Hazcat waste samples
- Submit disposal samples to laboratory
- Profile waste streams
- Recontainerize/repackage waste for off site disposal
- Demobilize from site

2.2.1.2 Next Steps

For the week of February 6, 2012, EPA will complete Hazcatting on site wastes, conduct compatability tests o wastreams, ship disposal samples to the laboratory, continue cutting/crushing empty containers, and begin cle the impacted floor areas of the facility

2.2.2 Issues

None. Site work is proceeding according to the SOW in the Action Memo

2.3 Logistics Section

The crew is operating out of 2 on site trailers (10 by 30) Temporary electric is being provided through a gener Security is on site for all non work hours and will remain for the duration of the project

The following equipment has been mobilized to the site:

Skid steer with attachmnets
40 K generator
Port-o-lets (2)
Trash dumpster (10 cubic yards)
Storage trailer
Light plant
Forklift (5000 pounds)
Rolloff boxes (2, 30 cubic yards)
SCBAs
Expendables as needed

2.4 Finance Section

2.4.1 Narrative

ERRS has been funded to \$100,000. As of Februray ,6 2012, **79.5** % of this budget has been expended. The anticipates that an additional \$75,000 to \$125,000 will be needed to complete the project

EPA is managing the technical consulting costs by having only 1 START person on site EPA Environmental Scientist Keith Lesniak is on site to provide additional contractor oversight, and to conduct air monitoring to all START to focus on the more technical logging and tracking work

Estimated Costs *

	Budgeted	Total To Date	Remaining	% Remaining			
Extramural Costs							
ERRS - Cleanup Contractor	\$100,000 00	\$79,500 00	\$20,500.00	20 50%			
TAT/START	\$35,000.00	\$9,400 00	\$25,600.00	73 14%			
Intramural Costs							
USEPA - Direct	\$39,000 00	\$11,700.00	\$27,300 00	70 00%			
USEPA - InDirect	\$27,000 00	\$8,100.00	\$18,900 00	70 00%			
Total Site Costs	\$201,000.00	\$108,700 00	\$92,300 00	45 92%			

^{*} The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this report terms of the OSC does not necessarily receive specific figures on final payments made to any contractor(s). Confinancial data which the OSC must rely upon may not be entirely up-to-date. The cost accounting provided in 1 report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim cost recovery.

2.5 Other Command Staff

2.5.1 Safety Officer

OSC Jeff Kimble is the lead for EPA. OSC Elizabeth Nightingale is working at the site as well.

Bill Poma is the RM and lead H&S person for the ERRS contractor Mr. Poma leads the daily safety briefings beggining of each daily shift

2.5.2 Liaison Officer

If needed, OSC will perform these tasks.

2.5.3 Information Officer

If needed, OSC will perform these tasks

3. Participating Entities

3.1 Unified Command

None This is a fund lead removal action. There is no Unified Command. The OSC is in charge of this cleanup

3.2 Cooperating Agencies

MDEQ Nancy Johnson is the contact for the State of Michigan MDEQ may oversee the Phase 2 activities conduct a consultant for Bridgeway Community Church

4. Personnel On Site

EPA:

- OSC Jeff Kimble
- OSC Elizabeth Nightingale
- Environmental Scientist Keith Lesniak

ERRS:

- RM Bill Poma
- Forman Gary Butcher
- 2 Field Techs
- FCA Khris Beck
- 1 Chemist (part time)

START

- Caitlin Ruza

5. Definition of Terms

SU = Standard units

ERRS = Emergency and Rapid Response Services (contract)

RM = Response manager

START = Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (contract)

MDEQ = Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

OSC = On Scene Coordinator

Hazcat = Process of determining the hazard category of wastes on site, includes field testing of material

PRP = Potentially responsible party
PPE = Personal protection equipment

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

LEL = lower explosive limit

O2 = oxygen

H2S = hydrogen sulfide CO = carbon monoxide

VOC = volatile organic compounds

6. Additional sources of information

NONE

6.2 Reporting Schedule

Weekly or as determined by OSC

7. Situational Reference Materials

NONE.